



TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	10/728,862	
	Filing Date	12/8/2003	
	First Named Inventor	Jungho Park	
	Art Unit	3679	
	Examiner Name	Greg Binda	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	99	Attorney Docket Number	

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC)
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	Amended claims; applicant's reply to the Notice dated 10/26/05
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application	This is for the applicant's response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated 10/26/2005.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name	Jungho Park
Signature	
Date	11/21/2005

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.		
Typed or printed name	Jungho Park	
Signature		Date 11/21/2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Applicant's Response to the Office Action Dated 10/26/2005

*Non-Responsive Amendment*

1. In response to the section 1 of the Office Action Summary dated 10/26/2005, the applicant objects to the notice of non-responsive amendment for the following reasons:

- a. Although the major novelty of this invention is in the multi-roller ball, this invention has been about a CV (constant velocity) joint since it involved not only the balls but also the cage. This invention requires a special cage that has web grooves (4i in Figures 8 and 9; claim 7 in the claims section). No other invention has such cage that features the web grooves.
- b. The multi-roller ball and the cage with the web grooves are essential members of a CV joint (this invention). They are not like a ball bearing (sub-combination) that can be applied to any mechanical systems (distinct combinations). Therefore, the relationship between the multi-roller ball and the CV joint is the part and the assembly in the single invention. In other words, the relationship is not about the two or more related inventions as covered by the MPEP § 806.05 "Related Inventions".
- c. Like this invention, there are many other CV joint inventions that modify a single member (e.g. cage) of a CV joint. The section 2 of this document lists such examples. The claims of all those inventions begin with "A universal joint comprising ..." even though the actual novelty lies in a single member/part of a CV joint. It is because a member of a CV joint cannot be separated as a subcombination of a CV joint. In other words, a CV joint does require the particulars of its members.
- d. The item g (Thomas, US 6,206,785) of this section 2 is about a CV joint having a two-piece cage. Even though the cage has a two-piece construction, the cage is not a subcombination but still a member of a CV joint. Thus a multi-roller ball is not a subcombination but merely a member (part) of a CV joint.
- e. The multi-roller ball and the cage with web grooves are strictly for constant velocity joints. It is both unnecessary and impractical to apply the multi-roller ball to a ball bearing. The balls for a CV joint have different functionalities from those for a ball bearing. The balls of a CV joints undergo a sliding contact against other members, while the balls in a ball bearing simply rolls between the two races. In addition, the cages for constant velocity joints have unique features that are not seen in ball bearing cages: an elongated cage window; a point contact against a ball